Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation Environmental Assessment

Operator: Continental Resources, Inc.
Well Name/Number: Dorothy Melvin 4 HSU
Location: NW NW Section 34 T24N R55E County: Richland , MT; Field (or Wildcat) W/C (Bakken Horizontal)
County. Nichiana, WT, Fleid (of Wildcar) W/C (Bakkell Florizonial)
Air Quality
(possible concerns)
Long drilling time: No, 30 to 40 days drilling time.
Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): No, triple derrick rig to drill a single lateral
horizontal Bakken Formation test, 20,350'MD/10,271'TVD.
Possible H2S gas production: Slight chance H2S gas from Mississippian Formations.
In/near Class I air quality area: No Class I air quality area nearby.
Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive) Yes, DEQ air quality permit required
under 75-2-211. Mitigation:
_X Air quality permit (AQB review)
Gas plants/pipelines available for sour gas
Special equipment/procedures requirements
Other:
Comments: No special concerns – using triple rig to drill a single lateral
horizontal Bakken Formation test, 20,350'MD/10,271'TVD. If there is an existing
pipeline for gas in the area and associated gas can be gathered or if no gathering
system nearby associated gas can be flared under Board Rule 36.22.1220.
Water Quality
(possible concerns)
Salt/oil based mud: Yes to intermediate casing string hole to be drilled with oil based
invert drilling fluids. Horizontal lateral will be drilled with brine water. Surface casing
hole will use freshwater and freshwater mud system.
High water table: None anticipated.
Surface drainage leads to live water: No, nearest drainage is an unnamed ephemeral
tributary to Three Buttes Creek, adjacent to this location.
Water well contamination: None anticipated, closest water wells are about 5/8 of a mile to the southwest and about 3/4 of a mile to the northeast from this location. Depth of
these water wells range from 42' to 143'. Surface hole will be drilled with freshwater and
freshwater mud system. Surface casing will be set at 1970' and steel casing set and
cemented to surface from 1970'.
Porous/permeable soils: No, silty-sandy clay soils.
Class I stream drainage: No Class I stream drainages in the area of review.
Mitigation:
Lined reserve pit
X Adequate surface casing
Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage
X Closed mud system
\underline{X} Off-site disposal of solids/ <u>liquids</u> (in approved facility)

X Other: Lined cuttings pit will be used since this is a closed loop mud system to
be employed.
Comments: Require 1970' of surface casing be set to cover the base of the Fox
Hills and cemented to surface adequate to protect freshwater zones.
Soils/Vegetation/Land Use
(possible concerns)
Steam crossings: No stream crossings required.
High erosion potential: No, small cut, up to 8.5' and small fill, up to 9.7', required.
Loss of soil productivity: No, location will be restored after drilling if unproductive. If
productive, unused portion of this drilling location will be restored Unusually large wellsite: Very large wellsite, 500'X320' required
Damage to improvements: Slight
Conflict with existing land use/values: Slight, surface use appears to be cultivated
land.
Mitigation
Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance)
Exception location requested
_X Stockpile topsoil
Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review)
X Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive
Special construction methods to enhance reclamation
Other
Comments:Access will be over existing county road, #328 and existing well
access road. About 5060' of new access road will be built into this location off the
existing lease road. Cuttings will be buried in the lined cuttings pit. Oil based drilling
fluids will be recycled. Completion fluids will be hauled to a commercial SWD disposal. The line of participation of the state of the
The lined cuttings pit will be allowed to dry and then closed by filling and mixing with clay
subsoils. Minimum of 4' of fill will cover the solids in this pit. No special concerns.
-
Health Hazards/Noise
(possible concerns)
Proximity to public facilities/residences: <u>Closest residence</u> , none within a 1 mile radius
in any direction from this location.
Possibility of H2S: Slight chance from Mississippian Formations.
Size of rig/length of drilling time: <u>Triple drilling rig/short 30 to 40 days drilling time</u>
Mitigation:
_X_Proper BOP equipment
Topographic sound barriers
H2S contingency and/or evacuation planSpecial equipment/procedures requirements
Special equipment/procedures requirements Other:
Comments: Adequate surface casing cemented to surface with an operational
BOP stack (annular and double ram rated for 5,000 psig) should mitigate any
problems.

Wildlife/recreation

(possible concerns)

Management Area, about 8 miles to the south from this location.
Proximity to recreation sites: Fox Lake State Game Management Area, about 8 miles to
the south from this location.
Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: No new access to wildlife habitat.
Conflict with game range/refuge management: No_
Threatened or endangered Species: Species identified as threatened or endangered
are the Pallid Sturgeon, Interior Least Tern, Whooping Crane and Piping Plover.
Candidate species are the Sprague's Pipit and the Greater Sage Grouse. NH tracker
website indicates one (1) species of concern in this area: Evening Grosbeak. NH
Tracker website indicates four (4) Potential Species of Concern: Hayden's Shrew,
Chimney Swift, Eastern Screech-Owl and Tennessee Warbler.
<u> </u>
Mitigation:
Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)
Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DSL)
Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite
Other:
Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There maybe species of concern
that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface
owner as to what he would like done, if a species of concern are discovered at this
location.
TOURION.
Historical/Cultural/Paleontological
(possible concerns)
Proximity to known sites - None identified
Proximity to known sites None identified Mitigation
Mitigation
Mitigationavoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)
Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies)
Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other:
Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There maybe possible
Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the
Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or
Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the
Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or
Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite.
Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. Social/Economic
Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. Social/Economic (possible concerns)
Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. Social/Economic (possible concerns) Substantial effect on tax base
Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. Social/Economic (possible concerns) Substantial effect on tax base Create demand for new governmental services
Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. Social/Economic (possible concerns) Substantial effect on tax base Create demand for new governmental services Population increase or relocation
Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. Social/Economic (possible concerns) Substantial effect on tax base Create demand for new governmental services
Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. Social/Economic (possible concerns) Substantial effect on tax base Create demand for new governmental services Population increase or relocation

Remarks or Special Concerns for this site

No, special concerns for drilling this single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation test, 20,350'MD/10,271'TVD.

Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects

No significant long term impacts expected, some short term impacts will occur.
I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/ <u>does not</u>) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/ <u>does not</u>) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.
Prepared by (BOGC): /s/Steven Sasaki (title:) Chief Field Inspector Date: June 6, 2012
Other Persons Contacted: Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, GWIC website (Name and Agency)
Water wells in Richland County (subject discussed) June 6, 2012 (date)
US Fish and Wildlife, Region 6 website (Name and Agency) ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES MONTANA COUNTIES, Richland County (subject discussed) June 6, 2012 (date)
Montana Natural Heritage Program Website (Name and Agency) Heritage State Rank= S1, S2, S3 T24N R55E (subject discussed)
<u>June 6, 2012</u> (date)
If location was inspected before permit approval: Inspection date: Inspector: Others present during inspection: